## II. REMARKS

In response to the objection to the abstract, the abstract has been revised to the word limit created after filing the parent case.

In response to the double patenting rejection, it is noted that the claims in both cases have been amended, and thus the rejection is moot.

All claims have been rejected as either obvious or anticipated. The rejection is respectfully traversed, but the claims have been amended. Thus, the rejection is moot. In any case, no cited art mentions controlling at an order center apparatus any aspect of waybill shipping.

## III. CONCLUSION

Respectfully, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and favorable action is requested. If the prosecution of this case can be in any way advanced by a telephone discussion, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (312) 240-0824.

authorized to charge any fees associated with the above-identified patent application or credit any overcharges to Deposit Account No. 50-0235, and if any extension of time is needed to reply to said office action, this shall be deemed a petition therefore. Please direct all communication to the undersigned at the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Delember 2, 20

eter K. Trzyna,

(Reg. No. 32,601)

P. O. Box 7131 Chicago, Illinois 60680-7131 (312) 240-0824